

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

THURSDAY, THE ELEVENTH DAY OF NOVEMBER
TWO THOUSAND AND FOUR

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MS JUSTICE G.ROHINI

WRIT PETITION NO : 20806 of 2004

Between:

N. Ashanna Goud, S/o. Saya Goud,
Village Pothireddypally, Mandal Sangareddy, District: Medak.

..... PETITIONER

AND

- 1 The Superintendent, Prohibition & Excise,
District Medak.
- 2 The President, Geetha Taddy Tappers Cooperative Society,
Village Pothireddypally, Mandal Sangareddy, District Medak.

.....RESPONDENT(S)

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court will be pleased to call for the records and issue a Writ, Order or Direction more particularly one in the nature of Writ of mandamus declaring the action of the 1st Respondent herein in not initiating appropriate steps against the 2nd Respondent in pursuance of CR NO. 187/Coop/2004 Dt. 23-08-2004 as arbitrary illegal, contrary to law, against the principles of natural justice, contrary to rules and in violation of Articles 14 and 21 of the Constitution of India consequently direct the Respondents to dispose of the representation of the petitioner Dt: 15-09-2004.

Counsel for the Petitioner:MR.P.SRIDHAR RAO

Counsel for Respondent No.1: GP FOR PROHIBITION & EXCISE

Counsel for Respondent No.2: --

The Court at the stage of admission made the following Order:

The petitioner seeks a writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the first respondent-Superintendent, Prohibition & Excise, Medak District in not taking the necessary steps to admit the petitioner as Member of the second respondent Society in pursuance of the proceedings dated 23-08-2004, as arbitrary and illegal.

The petitioner states that in terms of the proceedings of the first respondent dated 23-08-2004, stating that as per the report of the Station House Officer, Sangareddy, the petitioner is a genuine tapper and eligible for inclusion of his name in the 2nd respondent Society, he has already made a representation dated 15-09-2004 requesting the respondents to grant the membership, however the respondents failed to take any further steps. Hence, this writ petition.

Having heard the learned counsel for the petitioner and the learned Government Pleader for Prohibition & Excise appearing for the first respondent and on a perusal of the material on record, without expressing any opinion on merits, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the first respondent to consider the representation of the petitioner dated 15-09-2004 and pass appropriate orders in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, preferably within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of this order.

Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed of. No costs.

11-11-2004

kdl

To

- 1 The Superintendent, Prohibition & Excise,
District Medak.
- 2 Two C.Cs. to the Government Pleader for Prohibition & Excise, High Court
Buildings, A.P., Hyderabad.
- 3 Two C.D. Copies.